

I disagree with Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. You only get a slanted view of the problems.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. However, when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the companies' profits and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced centrally, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions prove we need to question media ownership rules. It is an example of why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.